

Proposed Stalking Protection (Scotland) Bill

Introduction

A proposal for a Bill to increase protection of victims of stalking by giving police the power to apply for stalking protection orders on behalf of victims. The consultation runs from 29 April 2019 to 21 July 2019. All those wishing to respond to the consultation are strongly encouraged to enter their responses electronically through this survey. This makes collation of responses much simpler and quicker. However, the option also exists of sending in a separate response (in hard copy or by other electronic means such as e-mail), and details of how to do so are included in the member's consultation document. Questions marked with an asterisk (*) require an answer. All responses must include a name and contact details. Names will only be published if you give us permission, and contact details are never published – but we may use them to contact you if there is a query about your response. If you do not include a name and/or contact details, we may have to disregard your response. Please note that you must complete the survey in order for your response to be accepted. If you don't wish to complete the survey in a single session, you can choose "Save and Continue later" at any point. Whilst you have the option to skip particular questions, you must continue to the end of the survey and press "Submit" to have your response fully recorded. Please ensure you have read the consultation document before responding to any of the questions that follow. In particular, you should read the information contained in the document about how your response will be handled. The consultation document is available here: [Consultation document](#) [Privacy Notice](#)

I confirm that I have read and understood the Privacy Notice attached to this consultation which explains how my personal data will be used

About you

Please choose whether you are responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation. Note: If you choose "individual" and consent to have the response published, it will appear under your own name. If you choose "on behalf of an organisation" and consent to have the response published, it will be published under the organisation's name.

on behalf of an organisation

Which of the following best describes you? (If you are a professional or academic, but not in a subject relevant to the consultation, please choose "Member of the public".)

No Response

Please select the category which best describes your organisation

Public sector body (Scottish/UK Government/Government agency, local authority, NDPB)

Optional: You may wish to explain briefly what the organisation does, its experience and expertise in the subject-matter of the consultation, and how the view expressed in the response was arrived at (e.g. whether it is the view of particular office-holders or has been approved by the membership as a whole).

British Transport Police

Please choose one of the following:

I am content for this response to be published and attributed to me or my organisation

Please provide your name or the name of your organisation. (Note: the name will not be published if you have asked for the response to be anonymous or "not for publication". Otherwise this is the name that will be published with your response).

British Transport Police

Please provide details of a way in which we can contact you if there are queries regarding your response. Email is preferred but you can also provide a postal address or phone number. We will not publish these details.

Aim and approach

Q1. Which of the following best expresses your view of increasing protections for victims of stalking by giving police the power to apply for Stalking Protection Orders on behalf of victims?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response, including any advantages and/or disadvantages of the proposed Bill.

There are several advantages to increasing protections for victims of stalking by giving the police the power to apply for Stalking Protection Orders (SPO's) on behalf of the victims. The biggest benefits fall onto the victim. The process will incur less expense, induce less stress and promote greater personal safety, health and wellbeing. It would provide victims with protection and security whilst the police and prosecutors are building a case. Also, there will be fewer delays as the Police currently have to wait until the end of a criminal trial before applying. This approach would seemingly bring us more in line with England and Wales.

Q2. Which of the following best expresses your view of limiting Stalking Protection Orders to a maximum duration of two years, with the possibility of renewal by the court?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reasons for your response.

The proposed duration is a sufficient period as it allows time for a criminal case to be built. In circumstances where an alleged stalker is yet to be convicted 'beyond reasonable doubt' these orders promote fairness to the accused as well as the victim.

Q3. Which of the following best expresses your view of making the breach of a Stalking Protection Order a criminal offence, with a maximum sentence of up to 6 months imprisonment and/or a fine on summary conviction, and up to 5 years imprisonment and/or a fine for conviction on indictment?

Fully supportive

Please explain the reason for your response.

This would bring it in line with Non-Harassment Orders. Also, the imposition of these sentences acts as a deterrent. We posit that there is currently a nationwide push not to impose short-term sentences, as evidenced by the recent enactment of the Presumption Against Short Periods of Imprisonment (Scotland) Order 2019.

Q4. Which of the following best expresses your view of allowing a Stalking Protection Order to be made against a child (i.e. under the age of 16 and above the age of criminal responsibility in Scotland)?

Partially supportive

Please explain the reasons for this response.

Only if it can be confirmed that protections, similar to the provisions contained in the Antisocial Behaviour etc (Scotland) Act 2004, were afforded to those under 16.

Financial implications

Q5. Taking account of both costs and potential savings, what financial impact would you expect the proposed Bill to have on:

	Significant increase in cost	Some increase in cost	Broadly cost-neutral	Some reduction in cost	Significant reduction in cost	Unsure
(a) Government and the public sector, including the police and courts		X				
(b) Businesses						X
(c) Individuals						X

Please explain the reasons for your response.

Stalking is an under reported offence or dealt with by way of different offences. As such, the true picture is currently unknown. The likelihood is that there will be an increase in reports of stalking as well as the courts having to deal with SPO's. In addition, there would need to be sufficient training provided to police officers and staff, and the COPFS.

Equalities

Q6. What overall impact is the proposed Bill likely to have on equality, taking account of the following protected characteristics (under the Equality Act 2010): age, disability, gender re-assignment, maternity and pregnancy, marriage and civil partnership, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation?

Unsure

Q7. In what ways could any negative impact of the Bill on equality be minimised or avoided?

No Response

Sustainability

Q8. Do you consider that the proposed Bill can be delivered sustainably, i.e. without having likely future disproportionate economic, social and/or environmental impacts?

Unsure

General

Q9. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the proposal?

No Response